

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,371	04/06/2001	David Hung	05284.00085 3897	
38732 7	590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
CYTYC CORPORATION 85 SWANSON ROAD			FLOOD, MICHELE C	
BOXBOROUGH, MA 01719			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/827,371	HUNG, DAVID
ravioury radion	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michele Flood	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a name application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply correct than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. Appellant's appeal was filed on	Brief must be filed within the pe	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	,.	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	·	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-11 and 22-27</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-21 and 2	?8-33.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximate		ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. ☐ Other:	()(· · · = · · · · -) · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
	1 P	Michele A. Flood. MICHELE FLOOD ATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has inserted a new limitation in independent Claim 1, i.e., "an agent that increases the secretion of ductal fluid into a breast duct" versus "an agent that increases retrievable ductal fluid from a breast duct", which would require further search and/or consideration.